

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/757,829	01/14/2004	Seigo Shiraishi	10873.1209USD1	5512	
7:	590 01/19/2006		EXAMINER		
Hamre, Schun	nann, Mueller & Larsor	NGUYEN, TAI V			
P.O. Box 2902-	-0902				
Minneapolis, N	MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3729		
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Q
	Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	
		57,829	SHIRAISHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summa	Exan	niner	Art Unit	-
		an Nguyen	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appears o	n the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	,49
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T - Extensions of time may be available under the pri after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxi- Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76	THE MAILING DATE Of positions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In its communication, mum statutory period will apply for reply will, by statute, cause the norths after the mailing date of the status of the statu	F THIS COMMUNION no event, however, may a sand will expire SIX (6) MON ne application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communical BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <i>08 Novemb</i>	<u>oer 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b) This action	n is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in con-	dition for allowance ex	cept for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the	practice under <i>Ex part</i>	e Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 13-17 is/are pending	in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-16	<u>3</u> is/are withdrawn from	n consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 17</u> is/are reject	ted.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected				
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or electi	ion requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed oni	s/are: a) accepted	or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that an	y objection to the drawing	g(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	=		(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Examine	er. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152) ••
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None	of:			
 Certified copies of the p 	riority documents have	been received.		
2. Certified copies of the p	riority documents have	been received in A	pplication No. <u>10/434,516</u> .	
Copies of the certified certified certified certified	opies of the priority do	cuments have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the Inte	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office	action for a list of the	certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/22/04, 1/14/04</u> .		6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The applicants' amendment filed 11/8/2005 has been fully considered and made of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moller (US 4,579,658).

As applied to claim 13, Moller discloses a method comprising the step of: (a) filling voids of a porous member (11, Fig. 1) with a fluid filling material (curable liquid substance) whose volume after solidification is not less than a volume of the voids of the porous member, and (b) solidifying the fluid filling material inside of the voids and the surplus fluid filling material at the same time (column 3, lines 43-55).

Application/Control Number: 10/757,829 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moller in view of Hashimoto et al (US 5,327,895).

As applied to claim 17, Moller discloses substantially all of the limitations of the claimed invention except the step filling material with epoxy resin.

However, Hashimoto et al teach filling material with epoxy resin (see column 10, lines 1-5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at this time the invention was made to have modified the method of Moller by including filling material with epoxy resin, as taught by Hashimoto et al, to positively provide forming a high molecular weight material in the volume space between adjacent member elements (column 3, lines 39-41).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/8/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicants argue that the Moller does not teach "filling voids of a porous member with a fluid filling material whose volume after solidification is not less than a volume of the voids of porous member" (as recited in claim 13, lines 8-9).

Application/Control Number: 10/757,829

Art Unit: 3729

The examiner traverses for at least the following reason:

With respect to the feature of an "acoustic matching member", these limitation are recited in the preamble and have been any patentable weight as the body of the claim can stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Regarding the "fluid filling material ", which was read as the curable liquid substance (at col. 3, lines 43+), the fluid filling material has a volume after solidification that is not less, i. e. greater than, a volume of the void of porous member because the fluid filling material occupies the voids and the top and bottom surfaces of the porous member (see Fig. 1)

Therefore the claims do not distinguish over the reference to Moller.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,829 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tai Van Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4567. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 A.M - 4:30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TN.

December 13, 2005

A. DEXTER TUGBANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER